# FROM CRISIS TO OPPORTUNITY THROUGH A NEW ENERGY PARADIGM

Professor Amulya Reddy 25/5 Borebank Road, Benson Town,

Bangalore -- 560 046

Tel/Fax: (080) 554 8426

Email: amulya@iei.globemail1.com

# **CRISIS**

= a situation that does not permit continuation of old patterns of behaviour

# ELECTRICITY SYSTEMS OF MOST DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

are trapped in 4 crises:-

- capital crisis
- performance crisis
- access or equity (= distribution) crisis
- environment crisis

# **ESSENCE OF CAPITAL CRISIS**

- "UNBRIDGEABLE GAP" BETWEEN CAPITAL DEMAND AND SUPPLY
- FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS ARE SEVERAL TIMES MORE THAN WHAT CAN BE PROVIDED BY SUPPLIERS OF CAPITAL

# ANNUAL INVESTMENT, I, REQUIRED FOR CAPACITY EXPANSION

 $I = E(0) \times g(CAP) \times UCOP$ 

 $= E(0) \times a \times g(GDP) \times UCOP$ 

E(0) = CAPACITY (IN MW) IN BASE YEAR

g(GDP) = GROWTH RATE OF GDP

g(CAP) = GROWTH RATE OF CAPACITY

a = RATIO OF GROWTH RATES OF CAPACITY & GDP

UCOP = UNIT COST OF CAPACITY(RS/KW)

# OPTION#1 : INVITE FOREIGN PRIVATE SECTOR

- Take { a . UCOP} as given/unchangeable
- E(t-1) is also given
- $= > I(t) = constant \times g(GDP)$

If there is a g (GDP) target, then a certain minimum investment I(t) is required

# OPTION #2 BELT - TIGHTENING

- Take {a.UCOP} as given
- E(t-1) is also given
- Accept I(t) = I(G) + (I(ES) + I(NPS) + I(ML))

Then live with g(GDP) = I/(t) / Constant

# **ENVIRONMENTAL CRISIS**

CONVENTIONAL ENERGY
PRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED
WITH LOCAL AND GLOBAL
IMPACTS THAT DEGRADE THE
ENVIRONMENT

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CRISIS**

• HYDROELECTRIC PROJECTS --

>

DISPLACE PEOPLE AND SUBMERGE FORESTS

• THERMAL POWER PROJECTS -

-->

# **EQUITY CRISIS**

- ELECTRICITY SYSTEMS EXPANDED
  IN THE NAME OF DEVELOPMENT
- BUT, THEY BYPASS THE POOR
- ONLY 51% OF THE 1988

#### ORIGIN OF THE CRISES

CONVENTIONAL ENERGY
PARADIGM OR MIND-SET
DETERMINING THE THINKING
OF VIRTUALLY ALL ENERGY
DECISION-MAKERS AND
PLANNERS

# CONVENTIONAL PARADIGM FOR ENERGY PLANNING

DEVELOPMENT = GROWTH = ENERGY=

ELECTRICITY = CENTRALISED GENERATION

= GRID TRANSMISSION & DISTRIBUTION

# SO-CALLED ENERGY-GDP CORRELATION

• EVERY ECONOMY CONSISTS OF A

NUMBER OF ENERGY-UTILIZING

ACTIVITIES

• EACH ACTIVITY INVOLVES AN

TOTAL ENERGY DEMAND E =

SUM OF ENERGY DEMANDS OF VARIOUS ACTIVITIES

E = SUM Ej = SUM [Cj x Ij]

= SUM [fj(GDP) x Ij]

= [SUM fj x Ij] x GDP

# HENCE, ENERGY DEMAND IS PROPORTIONAL TO GDP

IF AND ONLY IF
THE TERM (SUM fj x Ij)
IS A CONSTANT

# **SO-CALLED ENERGY-GDP**

CORRELATION IS VALID
ONLY DURING PERIODS
WHEN THERE IS NO CHANGE
IN THE ECONOMY'S

- (1) ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND
- (2) STRUCTURE

## E-GDP PROPORTIONALITY

# **BREAKS DOWN W.CHANGES**

 IN ENERGY INTENSITY DUE TO
 EFFICIENCY
 IMPROVEMENTS, PROCESS
 CHANGES OR PRODUCT
 CHANGES

# DECREASE OF [SUM fj x Ij]

- CAN OFFSET AN ICREASE IN GDP
- REDUCE THE COUPLING BETWEEN
   GDP AND ENERGY
- THERE CAN EVEN BE DECOUPLING

# CONVENTIONAL APPROACH TO ENERGY

- is based on a Gowth-oriented Supply -Sided CONsumptionbiased (GROSSCON) Paradigm
- magnitude of energy consumption is deemed to be the indicator of development

# THE WAY OUT: THROUGH A

# **NEW PARADIGM FOR ENERGY**

• WHAT HUMAN BEINGS AND THEIR

ACTIVITIES REQUIRE IS NOT ENERGY

PER SE BUT THE WORK THAT ENERGY

# INCREASING ENERGY SERVICES

- NOT ONLY BY INCREASING THE
   SUPPLY OF ENERGY TO THE DEVICES(LAMPS, HEATERS, MOTORS
   VEHICLES, ETC)
- BUT ALSO BY INCREASING

# **SWITCH FROM KEROSENE**

WICK LAMPS TO 20 W FLURESCENT TUBELIGHTS

 ILLUMINATION INCREASES ABOUT
 19 TIMES THROUGH UTILIZATION OF

#### THIS DRAMATIC

ACHIEVEMENT IS THE
RESULT OF THE 420 TIMES
GREATER EFFICIENCY OF 20
W FLUORESCENT
TUBELIGHTS COMPARED TO
KEROSENE WICK LAMPS

# **BEFORE SWITCH TO**

FLUORESCENT TUBELIGHTS,
HOUSEHOLDS CONSUMED
FAR MORE ENERGY BUT
ENJOYED FAR LESS LIGHTING
ENERGY SERVICE

#### THIS ADVANCE IN THE

PROCESS OF
DEVELOPMENT HAS BEEN
ACHIEVED ALONG WITH A
DECREASE IN THE
CONSUMPTION OF
ENERGY

# GENERAL PARADOX OF

ENERGY IN DEVELOPING
COUNTRIES:
HIGH LEVELS OF ENERGY
CONSUMPTION WITH LOW
LEVELS OF ENERGY
SERVICES

# IT IS THE LEVEL OF ENERGY

SERVICES -- AND NOT THE
MAGNITUDE OF ENERGY
CONSUMPTION -- THAT MUST
BE TAKEN AS THE
INDICATOR OF
DEVELOPMENT

# REDUCTION OF INVESTMENT

(WITH E(0) AND g(GDP) AS GIVEN)

 DECREASE a, THE COUPLING FACTOR,
 THRU EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENTS
 AND/OR

# OPTION#3--> IRP APPROACH

- E(t-1) GIVEN
- Minimum growth rate of GDP given
- Scrutinize { a.UCOP} in order to decrease

a and/or decrease UCOP

To improve the level of energy services

by increasing energy consumption through an

increase of energy supplies (CENTs or

**DECENTs**)

and/or

#### LEAST - COST MIX

- INCLUDE <u>SAVING</u> OPTIONS
- DON'T RESTRICT
   GENERATION
   OPTIONS TO CENTRALIZED
   OPTIONS AND FOSSIL FUEL/NON RENEWABLE OPTIONS

#### N.B.

COSTS OF ELECTRICITY FROM SOURCES SUCH AS BIOMASS, WIND, SMALL HYDEL, PHOTOVOLTAICS, ETC., ARE FALLING RAPIDLY

#### THREE OPTIONS FOR INDIA

- "COPYING THE WORST"
   (EARLY
   INDUSTRIALIZERS WITH THE
   HIGHEST
   MAXIMA)
- "COPYING THE BEST" (LATEST

#### THE NEW CHALLENGE

- REDUCING THE COUPLING
  BETWEEN GDP GROWTH AND
  ENERGY CONSUMPTION
- BY IDENTIFYING & IMPLEMENTING A LEAST-COST MIX OF GENERATION & SAVING OPTIONS FOR INCREASING ENERGY SERVICES.

# POWER SECTOR MUST

- ACQUIRE A HUMAN FACE
- BECOME AN INSTRUMENT OF SUBDEVELOPMENT
- ASK: ELECTRICITY FOR WHOM? WHAT? HOW (EFFICIENTLY)?
- EMPHASIZE ENERGY SERVICES FOR THE POOR

# POWER SECTOR MUST

ACQUIRE A
DEVELOPMENT FOCUS
AND AN END-USE
ORIENTATION DIRECTED
TOWARDS ENERGY
SERVICES.

# WHAT IS REQUIRED

- A NEW PARADIGM FOR ENERGY
- A <u>DE</u>velopment-<u>F</u>ocussed <u>END</u>useoriented <u>Service-directed or</u> DEFENDUS paradigm to rescue us